DELAY IN NEGOTIATING AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE M.G.R.T.D. AND MYSORE TRANSPORT EMPLOYEES, FEDERATION.

*Q.—668. Sri J. L. KABADI (Indi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the reasons for the delay in negotiating an agreement between the M.G.R.T.D. and Mysore State Transport Employees, Federation ;

(*b*) the difficulties in the way of the Government in entering into an agreement ;

(*c* whether at present the old truce signed on 10th January 1958 between M.G.R.T.D. and Mysore State Transport Employees, Federation continues :

(*d*) whether Government have taken any action to evolve common Rules of administration, discipline and appeal procedure, etc., for M.G.R.T.D. employees ?

*A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister) [on behalf of Sri K. F. PATIL (Minister for State Transport, Mining and Food)].—

(*a*) Due to the large number of demands, *viz.*, 55.

(*b*) There are no difficulties.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes. Common rules have been evolved for the following :—

(i) Gratuity Rules,
(ii) Service Rules,
(iii) Medical Attendance,
(iv) Discipline and appeal,
(v) T. A. Rules.
(vi) Payment of advances.
(vii) Standing orders.
(viii) Leave Rules.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—Sir, I have asked the reason for the delay but they have given the number. What is the reason for delaying the implementation of the truce ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Delay is due to settlement in regard to the amnesty demands and partly due to modified demands by the Federation.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—How many demands were in the old truce which has been agreed by the Government ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That need not be considered because the new demands are 55 and again there are 59 sub demands.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—Supplementary to (*b*). When there is no difficulty, why should there be delay ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There is no difficulty ; both the parties agreed to give and take. The difficulty will be to examine all the 55 demands and also the 59 sub-demands and see as to what would be the financial repurcussion and financial commitment to Government. This will have to be taken into consideration.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Out of these, how many would be conceded by Government ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—That would be examined Sir.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—Whether Government is aware that rules Four, Seven and Eight have not yet been evolved though it has been said as evolved ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It is not correct, Sir.

EXTENSION OF THE CHANNEL OF KARIYALA PROJECT IN HIRIYUR TALUK.

**Q.*—671. Sri T. TARE GOWDA (Sira).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware of the possibility of extending the right bank channel of Kariyala Project (Gayatri Reservoir Project) in Hiriyur Taluk for another one mile so as to bring at least 500 acres of dry lands of Melkote and Ujjanakunte and Pinnahole in Sira Taluk ;

(*b*) if so, whether any action is being taken in the matter ;

(*c*) whether it is feasible to raise the capacity of the said project by increasing the weir by one or two feet so as to bring about 1,000 acres of dry lands more both in Hiriyur and Sira Taluks ;

(*d*) if so, whether they will take urgent early action in the matter?

A.—Sri M. N. NAGHNOOR (Deputy Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) and (*b*) Yes. But extension of the channel to irrigate additional lands can be considered only after watching the behaviour of the Reservoir for Two or Three years, in regard to the adequacy or otherwise, of water supply to the contemplated atchkat under the Reservoir.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of reply to Clause (*c*) above.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ತಾರೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಈಗೆ ಏಪ್ರಿಲ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಇದರ ಸಾಧ್ಯತೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಪುನಃ ಪುನಃ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿ. ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟರಿಗೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಡಿವಿಜನ್ ನಂಬರ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿಗೆ ಇಂಜನಿಯರವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿ, ಈಗ ಸರ್ವೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?